


A. Dean Tranmer ISB #2793
City of Pocatello
P. O. Box 4169
Pocatello, ID 83201
(208) 234-6149
(208) 234-6297 (Fax)
dtranmer@pocatello.us

Sarah A. Klahn, ISB #7928
White & Jankowski, LLP
511 Sixteenth Street, Suite 500
Denver, Colorado 80202
(303) 595-9441
(303) 825-5632 (Fax)
sarahk@white-jankowski.com

Attorneys for the City of Pocatello

Randall C. Budge, ISB #1949
Candice M. McHugh, ISB #5908
Thomas J. Budge, ISB #7465
Racine, Olson, Nye, Budge & Bailey, Chtd.
P.O. Box 1391
Pocatello, Idaho 83204-1391
(208) 232-6101
(208) 232-6109 fax

Attorneys for IGWA



**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS**

TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY, NORTH
SIDE CANAL COMPANY, A&B
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN
FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2,
BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, and
MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT,

Petitioners,

vs.

GARY SPACKMAN, in his capacity as
Interim Director of the Idaho Department of
Water Resources, and THE IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Respondents,

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF
WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS
HELD BY OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN
FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2,

Case No.: CV- 2010-0003403

**IGWA AND POCATELLO'S MOTION
FOR STAY AND CONSOLIDATION**

BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY AND
TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY

COMES NOW, the Idaho Ground Water Appropriators (“IGWA”) and the City of Pocatello (“City” or “Pocatello”), by and through undersigned counsel and move for stay and consolidation of pending appeals for the reasons set forth below and pursuant to I.C. §67-5272(2). This motion requests that this matter pending on judicial review be stayed and consolidated with the appeals filed by IGWA and Pocatello on July 21, 2010, of the same *Final Order Regarding April 2010 Forecast Supply (Methodology Steps 3 & 4); Order on Reconsideration* issued by the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources (“Director”) on June 24, 2010 (“*Final As-Applied Order*”), which is the subject of the matter in this case. IGWA’s *Petition for Judicial Review (Final Order Re: April 2010 Forecast Supply dated June 24, 2010)* has been assigned Gooding County Case No. CV-2010-0000382, and Pocatello’s *Notice of Appeal and Petition for Judicial Review of Agency Action (Final As-Applied Order)* has been assigned Gooding County Case No. CV-2010-0000387.

IGWA and Pocatello request that this appeal also be consolidated with the pending appeal in Gooding County Case no. CV-2008-000551 Case (the “551 Case”) so that all matters stemming from the same agency action may be heard by the Honorable John M. Melanson.

In sum, IGWA and Pocatello have requested that the following matters all be stayed and consolidated for resolution with Gooding County Case No. 2008-000551 pending before the Honorable John M. Melanson:

1. *IGWA Petition for Judicial Review (Second Amended Final Order Re: Methodology June 23, 2010)*, Gooding County Case No. 2010-0000383.

2. *Pocatello's Notice of Appeal and Petition for Judicial Review of Agency Action (Final Methodology Order)*, Gooding County Case No. 2010-0000388.
3. *SWC Notice of Appeal and Petition for Judicial Review of Agency Action (Final Methodology Order)*, Gooding County Case No. 2010-0000384.
4. *IGWA Petition for Judicial Review (Final Order Re: April 2010 Forecast Supply dated June 24, 2010)*, Gooding County Case No. 2010-0000382.
5. *Pocatello Notice of Appeal and Petition for Judicial Review of Agency Action (Final As-Applied Order)*, Gooding County Case No. 2010-0000387.
6. *SWC Notice of Appeal and Petition for Judicial Review of Agency Action (2010 April Forecast Supply)*, Twin Falls County Case No. 2010-003403.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The 551 Case involves an appeal of the Director's final order in the Surface Water Coalition's Delivery Call *In the Matter of Distribution of Water to Various Water Rights Held by or for the Benefit of A&B Irrigation District, American Falls Reservoir District #2, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, Minidoka Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company*. The Honorable John M. Melanson has held a final decision the pending appeal in the 551 case in his *Order Staying Decision for Rehearing Pending Issuance of Revised Final Order* issued March 4, 2010 in the 551 Case. In that Order the Court ordered that it would hold in abeyance the pending petitions for rehearing until "the time periods for filing a motion for reconsideration and petition for judicial review of [the Final Methodology Order] have expired." *Id.* at 3. As such, Judge Melanson has not issued a final order on the pending petition for judicial review in the 551 case and is waiting for any other petitions for judicial review to be filed in the 551 case for his consideration before issuing a final order.

In response to the March 4, 2010, Order and the previous remand in the 551 Case, the Director issued the *Second Amended Final Order Regarding Methodology for Determining*

Material Injury to Reasonable In-Season Demand and Reasonable Carryover (“Final Methodology Order”) on June 23, 2010.¹ The IWGA, Pocatello and the Surface Water Coalition have all appealed the *Final Methodology Order* in the 551 Case as part of the ongoing matter that was remanded to IDWR.²

On June 24, 2010, the Department issued a *Final Order Regarding April 2010 Forecast Supply (Methodology Steps 3 & 4); Order on Reconsideration* (“Final As-Applied Order”) in the same administrative matter as the *Final Methodology Order*.³ The *Final Methodology Order* and the *Final As-Applied Order* were the subject of separate, but limited hearings held on May 24 and 25, 2010. On July 21, 2010, IGWA and Pocatello appealed the *Final As-Applied Order* in Gooding County.⁴ The appeal filed in this case by the Surface Water Coalition involves the same *Final As-Applied Order* which applies the methodology found in the *Final Methodology Order* and which is the subject of IGWA and Pocatello’s appeals in Gooding County.

Both the *Final Methodology Order* and *Final As-Applied Order* are based on substantially the same agency record.

¹ *In the Matter of Distribution of Water to Various Water Rights Held by or for the Benefit of A&B Irrigation District, American Falls Reservoir District #2, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, Minidoka Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company.*

² See fn. 1 supra.

³ *In the Matter of Distribution of Water to Various Water Rights Held by or for the Benefit of A&B Irrigation District, American Falls Reservoir District #2, Burley Irrigation District, Milner Irrigation District, Minidoka Irrigation District, North Side Canal Company, and Twin Falls Canal Company.*

⁴ Gooding County Case Nos. CV-2010-000383 and CV-2010-000388 respectively.

ARGUMENT

I. The Idaho APA Provides For Consolidation Of Appeals From The Same Agency Action.

Pursuant to the Idaho Administrative Procedure Act (“Idaho APA”), when two or more petitions for judicial review of the same agency action have been filed, “the administrative judge in the judicial district in which the first petition was filed, after consultation with the affected judges, shall order consolidation of the judicial review of the petitions.” I.C. § 67-5272(2). “[S]eparate consideration of the petitions in different counties or by different district judges shall be stayed” until consolidation of the petitions is ordered. *Id.*

Pursuant to Idaho Code § 67-5272(2), the administrative judge of the Fifth Judicial District is statutorily charged with deciding whether to consolidate the appeals of the Director’s *Final As-Applied Order*. The *Final As-Applied Order* stems from the same agency action as IGWA and Pocatello’s appeals filed in Gooding County and the ongoing appeal in the 551 Case: the Department was ordered by the Court in the 551 Case to issue a new order explaining the agency’s methodology for determining material injury to the parties’ water rights, which the Department issued in the *Final Methodology Order*, and applied to the 2010 irrigation season in the *Final As-Applied Order*. Both orders set forth IDWR’s methods to be used to determine material injury to the water rights at issue in the 551 Case, and how that injury analysis will be used in administration. The *Final As-Applied Order* appeals should be consolidated with each other and with the 551 Case as the matters involve the same agency action.

II. The Court Has Authority Under The Idaho Appellate Rules And Rules Of Civil Procedure To Consolidate The Appeals Because The Matters Involve Similar If Not Identical Parties, Facts And Legal Issues.

The Court has the authority to consolidate the appeals with the pending 551 Case and the appeal therein of the Department's *Final Methodology Order*. Numerous Idaho Supreme Court decisions state that cases may be consolidated for appeal if similar issues and parties are involved, to wit, *Alpine Villa Dev. Co., Inc. v. Young*, 99 Idaho 851, 590 P.2d 578 (1979) ("four actions were consolidated on appeal due to the similarity of facts and identity of legal issues"); *Ada County v. Schemm*, 96 Idaho 396, 529 P.2d 1268 (1974) ("These two cases were consolidated upon appeal since both involve the same real property and present essentially the same question").

Idaho Appellate Rule 48 provides the Court with authority to consolidate appeals in the same manner and pursuant to the same standard as general civil matters⁵:

[In] cases where no provision is made by statute or by these rules, proceedings in the Supreme Court shall be in accordance with the practice usually followed in such or similar cases, or as may be prescribed by the Court or a Justice thereof."

Therefore, because there is no Idaho Appellate Rule explaining the standard that courts should use to evaluate consolidation of appeals, courts should turn to Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure Section 42(a), which permits courts to consolidate matters that involve "a common question of law or fact."

"Whenever the Court is of the opinion that consolidation will expedite matters and will minimize expense upon the public and the parties, an order of consolidation should be made." *Harrison v. Taylor*, 115 Idaho 588, 597, 768 P.2d 1321, 1330 (1989). Consolidating the

⁵ Further, the Idaho Appellate Rules acknowledge the possibility of consolidated appeals. *See* I.A.R. 35(g) (in cases consolidated for purposes of appeal parties may join in a single brief and may adopt by reference any part of the brief of another party).

Petitions for Judicial Review of the *Final Methodology Order* and its application as found in the *Final As-Applied Order* into one appeal before Judge Melanson for judicial review is the best use of judicial resources. Consolidation of this appeal with the 551 Case is appropriate because the two appeals share common questions of fact, law and essentially identical parties⁶:

- As explained above, the Orders involve the same agency action: a delivery call initiated by the Surface Water Coalition in 2005.
- The two Orders set forth the Departments' methodology for determination of material injury to certain water rights of the Surface Water Coalition at issue in the 551 Case.
- Essentially the appeals raise identical legal issues.
- One of the numerous issues Petitioners have raised in the new appeal concerns the Department's compliance with the Court's limited remand, including whether the *Final Methodology Order* is supported by the original record in the 551 Case, as ordered by the Court.
- Finally, because a central issue in Petitioner's new appeals concerns whether the *Final Methodology Order* has been applied in the *Final As-Applied Order* in an arbitrary and capricious manner, consolidation is required in this matter and is in the interests of judicial economy.

The Honorable John M. Melanson has handled the 551 Case for over two years, and continues to preside over the case after being appointed to the Court of Appeals. He is most familiar with the large agency record (consisting of over 7,500 pages, in addition to a few hundred exhibits) and with the issues raised by the parties. Judge Melanson's familiarity with the case is undisputed, and it would promote judicial economy to consolidate the cases on his docket. Further, consolidating the pending appeals would relieve the Department from having to reproduce the large agency record and would relieve the parties of having to review the record again to make sure it contained all the relevant documents.

⁶ The United States Bureau of Reclamation was an active participant in the delivery call hearing which resulted in the need for the Methodology Order, but was not an active participant in the As-Applied Order matter.

Finally, consolidation will more quickly allow conclusion of the appeals, and lead to a more expedited final decision and possibility for further appeal to the Supreme Court. Consolidation will also allow a reviewing court to see not only the *Final Methodology Order* but its actual application to a specific water year in the *Final As-Applied Order*, in which the Director found material injury to the senior users. No party will be prejudiced by consolidation, and indeed costs and complications from multiple appeals raising the same issues in multiple courts will be avoided.

WHEREFORE, IGWA and Pocatello respectfully request that the Court order a consolidation of this appeal with the appeals in Gooding County and with the 551 Case before Judge Melanson because the appeals involve the same agency action, similar issues of fact and law, essentially the same parties, and a nearly continuous administrative record. The Court should stay the appeal pursuant to Idaho Code Section 67-5272(2) so that it can be so consolidated.

Petitioners do not request argument on this Motion.

Dated this 23rd day of July, 2010.

CITY OF POCATELLO ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

By Candice M. Mchugh for
A. DEAN TRANMER

WHITE & JANKOWSKI, LLP

By Candice M. Mchugh for
SARAH A. KLAHN
Attorneys for the City of Pocatello

RACINE, OLSON, NYE, BUDGE
& BAILEY, CHTD.

By Candice M. Mchugh
CANDICE M. MCHUGH
Attorneys for IGWA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 23rd day of July, 2010, the above and foregoing document was served in the following manner.

Deputy Clerk
Twin Falls County District Court
PO Box 126
425 Shoshone St N.
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0126

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail

Deputy Clerk (courtesy
copy)
Gooding County
District Court
PO Box 27
Gooding, Idaho 83330

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail

Honorable John Melanson (courtesy copy)
Court of Appeals
PO Box 83720
451 W State St
Boise, ID 83720-0101

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile 208-334-2616
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail

Deputy Clerk (courtesy copy)
SRBA District Court
253 3rd Avenue N.
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile 208-736-2121
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail

Tom Arkoosh
CAPITOL LAW GROUP, PLLC
P.O. Box 2598
Boise, Idaho 83701-2598

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile 208-424-8873
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail
tarkoosh@capitollawgroup.net

Garrick Baxter
Chris Bromley
Idaho Department of Water Resources
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile 208-287-6700
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail
garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov
chris.bromley@idwr.idaho.gov

W. Kent Fletcher
FLETCHER LAW OFFICE
P.O. Box 248
Burley, Idaho 83318-0248

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile 208-878-2548
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-Mail
wkf@pmt.org

John Simpson
Travis L. Thompson
Barker Rosholt
P.O. Box 2139
Boise, Idaho 83701-2139

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile 208-344-6034
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-Mail
jks@idahowaters.com
tlt@idahowaters.com

Sarah Klahn
Mitra Pemberton
WHITE JANKOWSKI, LLP
511 16th St., Suite 500
Denver, Colorado 80202

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail
sarahk@white-jankowski.com
mitrap@white-jankowski.com

Dean Tranmer
CITY OF POCATELLO
P.O. Box 4169
Pocatello, Idaho 83205

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail
dtranmer@pocatello.us

Michael S. Gilmore
Attorney General's Office
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0010

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-Mail
mike.gilmore@ag.idaho.gov

Jeff Fereday
Mike Creamer
Givens, Pursley
P.O. Box 2720
Boise, Idaho 83701-2720

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile 208-388-1300
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-Mail
jcf@givenspursley.com
mcc@givenspursley.com

Josephine P. Beeman
Beeman & Associates
409 W. Jefferson
Boise, Idaho 83702

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile 208-331-0954
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-Mail
jo.beeman@beemanlaw.com

Kathleen Carr
U.S. DEPT. OF INTERIOR
960 Broadway, Suite 400
Boise, Idaho 83706

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail
kathleenmarioncarr@sol.doi.gov

David W. Gehlert
Natural Resources Section
Environment and Natural Res. Div.
U.S. Department of Justice
1961 Stout Street, 8th Floor
Denver, Colorado 80294

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail
david.gehlert@usdoj.gov

Terry Uhling
JR SIMPLOT CO.
999 Main Street
Boise, Idaho 83702

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivery
 E-mail
tuhling@simplot.com



CANDICE M. MCHUGH