



Evapotranspiration: How to use METRIC and NDVI estimates (Part 1) Wood River Valley Groundwater Flow Model

Presented to the Modeling Technical Advisory Committee 12/05/2013
Mike McVay P.E., P.G.



Quick Recap on Remote ET Estimation

- Reference ET (ET_r) is theoretical ET from an ideal crop of alfalfa.
 - Based on weather data.
- Crop Coefficient (K_c) is an adjustment to the ET_r for non-alfalfa crops.
- Traditional Method of estimating ET involves multiplying crop data by weather data.
 - $ET_{\text{trad}} = K_c \times ET_r$.
- ET Fraction (ET_{rf}) is the ratio of satellite image ET to ET_r.
- METRIC uses the ET fraction, which serves the same mathematical purpose as K_c.
 - $ET_{\text{METRIC}} = ET_{\text{rf METRIC}} \times ET_r$
- NDVI uses a relationship with METRIC to generate ET_{rf}.
- NDVI uses the ET_{rf} and ET_r like METRIC.
 - $ET_{\text{NDVI}} = ET_{\text{rf NDVI}} \times ET_r$

ET Data Availability


ET Estimate	Year	Available Data
NDVI	1995	July
METRIC	1996	All irrigation season
NDVI	1997	Sep and Oct
NDVI	1998	Aug-Oct
NDVI	1999	Jul-Oct
METRIC	2000	All irrigation season
NDVI	2001	Jun-Oct
METRIC and NDVI	2002	METRIC All season, Triangle only; NDVI May-Oct, all domain
NDVI	2003	May-Sep
NDVI	2004	Apr, Jul, Oct
NDVI	2005	All irrigation season
METRIC	2006	All irrigation season
NDVI	2007	All irrigation season
METRIC	2008	All irrigation season
METRIC	2009	All irrigation season
METRIC	2010	Not done yet, due in 2013

Processing ET for Best Estimate

METRIC is our best estimate of ET, but it is not available for all months (or not available in time for calibration). We can use a relationship between NDVI and METRIC to estimate ET from NDVI images (NDVI is substantially quicker). In order to use satellite-derived data for all months in the calibration period two processes must be completed:

1. Compare NDVI to METRIC. Compare years with both METRIC and NDVI estimates to investigate the relationship between the methods.
 - a) Are the methods producing comparable estimates?
 - b) Is there a bias to the NDVI estimates?
 - c) Correct any bias.
2. Interpolate or Estimate years with no Satellite data. Some months are too cloudy to produce either METRIC or NDVI estimates.
 - a) Use Reference ET (E_{Tr}) from weather data for date of interest.
 - b) Interpolate ET fraction (E_{Trf}) from neighboring months with ET estimates.
 - c) Calculated ET using actual weather data and interpolated E_{Trf} .


Irrigated ET 1996



METRIC Vs NDVI


NDVI generally estimates more ET than METRIC.

Irrigated ET 2000




NDVI is approximately 9% higher than METRIC over the entire concurrent period of record.


Irrigated ET 2002




Irrigated ET 2006



Irrigated ET 2008



Irrigated ET 2009



Adjusting NDVI Estimates


METRIC is our best estimate.

It appears that NDVI tends to estimate more ET than METRIC.

Given this information, we need to decide how to use the NDVI:


1. Use NDVI directly. It is another method of estimating ET that we are using.
2. Scalar Adjustment of all NDVI data. NDVI values tend to estimate more ET than does METRIC. Since METRIC is our best estimate, we should try to fit NDVI to METRIC.
3. Month Specific Adjustment. Try to bring NDVI more in line with METRIC by adjusting with monthly averages. Months that have bigger differences on average, are adjusted more.
4. Month Specific Adjustment by Drought Index. Some months show variable differences from METRIC depending on precipitation. For example, NDVI estimates in spring months tend to be higher in dry years and lower in wet years.

Irrigated ET 1996




**9%
Scalar
Adjustment**

Irrigated ET 2006




Irrigated ET 2000




Green lines on
charts are
Adjusted NDVI.

NDVI values
adjusted down
by 9%.


Irrigated ET 2008



Irrigated ET 2002




Irrigated ET 2009



Month Specific Adjustments to NDVI

Using a scalar adjustment may create bigger differences between estimations for April.


Remember these averages are based on only a few data points.




Month Specific Adjustments to NDVI- Drought Index

Monthly differences seem to be correlated with precipitation – especially in the spring.


April



May



June




The character of the differences between estimates match expectations based on the development of the methods.

(very few data)


Month Specific Adjustments to NDVI- Drought Index

Monthly differences seem to be correlated with precipitation – especially in the spring.


July




August



September



October




Month Specific Adjustments to NDVI

Although monthly differences are not consistent, and the correlation with precipitation matches expectations, there are few data .

Therefore, a scalar adjustment of 9% has been applied to all NDVI data.

Monthly ET - Irrigated Land



Months to be Interpolated/Estimated

Year	Missing Months
1995	Apr, May, Jun, Aug, Sep
1997	Apr, May, Jun, Jul, Aug
1998	Apr, May, Jun, Jul
1999	Apr, May, Jun
2001	Apr, May
2002	Correlate All-Season-METRIC (Triangle) to All-Domain-NDVI
2003	Apr, Oct
2004	May, Jun, Aug, Sep

How to Interpolate ET

Remember METRIC uses an ET fraction multiplied by the weather conditions.

$$ET = ETrf \times ETr$$

Interpolate to months without estimates, use weather data from the year/month we want and a ratio of the ETrf's that we know.

For example: Find **ET May 2001** given METRIC for June 2001 and all of 2000.

KNOW ETrf for June 2001, June 2000, May 2000 ($ETrf_{jun01}$, $ETrf_{jun00}$, $ETrf_{may00}$)

KNOW ETr for all months (ETr_{may00} , ETr_{jun00} , ETr_{may01} , ETr_{jun01})


1. Calculate ETrf from ET for May 2000, June 2000 and June 2001. $ETrf = ET/ETr$
2. Calculate ratio of $ETrf_{jun01}$ to $ETrf_{jun00}$. Ratio = 90% (not true ratio, only example).
3. Assume ETrf for all of 2001 is 90% of 2000 ETrf.
4. Therefore, assume May 2001 ETrf = 0.90 x May 2000 ETrf.
5. Calculate May 2001 ET as: $ET_{may01} = (0.9 \times ETrf_{may00}) \times ETr_{may01}$

Next Steps

1. Evaluation of Non-Irrigated and Semi-Irrigated lands.
2. Make adjustments to NDVI for the other land uses.
3. Interpolation/estimation of missing months for all land uses.
4. Finish computation of Winter ET using previously presented methodology.

Chart on next slide is presented to illustrate current state of ET processing. Winter ET and missing months of irrigation season ET are averages.

Monthly ET - Irrigated Land



Discussion