


John H.
J. H.
H. H.

Analysis of the Impact of Legal Constraints on Ground-water Resource Development in Idaho


By
Dale R. Ralston
Douglas L. Grant
H. Lee Schatz
Dennis Goldman



Prepared in Cooperation with the Idaho Water Resources Research Institute

Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology
Moscow, Idaho
November 1974

Pamphlet No. 158



Research Technical Completion Report
Projects B-019-IDA and B-031-IDA

ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF LEGAL CONSTRAINTS
ON GROUNDWATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT IN IDAHO

by

Dale R. Ralston
Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology

Douglas L. Grant
College of Law

H. Lee Schatz
Research Assistant
Department of Agricultural Economics
College of Agriculture

Dennis Goldman
Research Assistant
Department of Geology
College of Mines

This report was prepared in cooperation with the Idaho Water Resources Research Institute. The project was supported in part with funds provided by the Office of Water Research and Technology as authorized under the Water Resources Research Act of 1964, and pursuant to grant agreement numbers 14-31-0001-3578 and 14-31-0001-4076.

Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology
University of Idaho
Moscow, Idaho

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
5800 S. UNIVERSITY AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

RESEARCH ASSISTANT
JAMES H. HARRIS
1968-1969
1969-1970
1970-1971
1971-1972
1972-1973
1973-1974
1974-1975
1975-1976
1976-1977
1977-1978
1978-1979
1979-1980
1980-1981
1981-1982
1982-1983
1983-1984
1984-1985
1985-1986
1986-1987
1987-1988
1988-1989
1989-1990
1990-1991
1991-1992
1992-1993
1993-1994
1994-1995
1995-1996
1996-1997
1997-1998
1998-1999
1999-2000
2000-2001
2001-2002
2002-2003
2003-2004
2004-2005
2005-2006
2006-2007
2007-2008
2008-2009
2009-2010
2010-2011
2011-2012
2012-2013
2013-2014
2014-2015
2015-2016
2016-2017
2017-2018
2018-2019
2019-2020
2020-2021
2021-2022
2022-2023
2023-2024
2024-2025

RESEARCH ASSISTANT
JAMES H. HARRIS
1968-1969
1969-1970
1970-1971
1971-1972
1972-1973
1973-1974
1974-1975
1975-1976
1976-1977
1977-1978
1978-1979
1979-1980
1980-1981
1981-1982
1982-1983
1983-1984
1984-1985
1985-1986
1986-1987
1987-1988
1988-1989
1989-1990
1990-1991
1991-1992
1992-1993
1993-1994
1994-1995
1995-1996
1996-1997
1997-1998
1998-1999
1999-2000
2000-2001
2001-2002
2002-2003
2003-2004
2004-2005
2005-2006
2006-2007
2007-2008
2008-2009
2009-2010
2010-2011
2011-2012
2012-2013
2013-2014
2014-2015
2015-2016
2016-2017
2017-2018
2018-2019
2019-2020
2020-2021
2021-2022
2022-2023
2023-2024
2024-2025

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance and support of the Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology and the University of Idaho in the performance of this study. Assistance was also provided by the Idaho Department of Water Resources and the Raft River Electrical Cooperative. Technical assistance was provided by Dr. Edgar Michalson, Dr. Don Haber, Dr. Roy Williams and Dr. Joel Hamilton, all of the University of Idaho.




TABLE OF CONTENTS


CHAPTER		PAGE
Chapter I	INTRODUCTION	1
	Purpose and Scope of the Study	1
	Statement of the Problem	3
	Model of a Hydrologic System	5
	Description of the Study Basin	5
	Publications	9
Chapter II	IDAHO GROUNDWATER LAW	11
	Acquisition of Groundwater Rights	11
	Legal Constraints on Exercise of Groundwater Rights	14
	Introduction	14
	The Average Natural Recharge Clause	15
	The Adverse Effect Clause	23
	Some Problems of Administration	37
	Selection of Wells for Closure	37
	Footnotes	41
Chapter III	AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS OF A DECLINING GROUNDWATER LEVEL IN THE RAFT RIVER BASIN	46
	Farm Analysis	46
	Costs of Water Level Decline	47
	Opportunity Cost of Not Pumping the Groundwater	48
	Relative Importance of Groundwater Decline	49
	Summary	51
	Conclusions	53
Chapter IV	ALTERNATIVES FOR GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT IN IDAHO	54
	Application of the Appropriation Doctrine to Groundwater in Idaho	54
	Groundwater Management Under the Idaho Code	56
	Groundwater Administration Under the Pumping Lift Restriction	57
	Groundwater Administration Under the Recharge Limitation	69
	Steps in Groundwater Administration	73

THE HISTORY OF THE

The history of the world is a long and varied one, filled with many interesting events and people. It is a story that has been told for centuries, and it continues to be told today. The world has changed so much over time, and it is fascinating to see how it has evolved. There are many different cultures and traditions, and it is important to learn about them all. The world is a beautiful and diverse place, and it is our duty to take care of it and to respect the people who live in it. We must work together to make the world a better place for everyone.


TABLE OF CONTENTS (con't.)

CHAPTER	PAGE
Analysis of Management Alternatives for Groundwater in Idaho	74
Application of the Management Alterna- tives to the Model of the Study Area .	74
Basis Run	75
Analysis of Reasonable Groundwater Pump- ing Levels as a Tool for Resource Management	75
Analysis of the Recharge Limitation as a Tool for Resource Management	100
Conclusions	106
Chapter V GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	109
REFERENCES	111




LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
Table 1: Number of Wells Per Year Equal or Exceeding Selected Reasonable Pumping Lift Values in Study Basin	83



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
Figure 1:	Location Map for the Raft River Basin	6
Figure 2:	Location of Wells in the Raft River Basin, Idaho and Utah	8
Figure 3:	Alternatives for Groundwater Management Under the Concept of Reasonable Groundwater Pumping Levels	58-61
Figure 4:	Alternatives for Groundwater Management Under the Concept of Reasonably Anticipated Average Rate of Future Natural Recharge	70
Figure 5:	Hydrograph of the Well at Node 4536, Basis Run	76
Figure 6:	Hydrograph of the Well at Node 5437, Basis Run	77
Figure 7:	Depth to Pumping Water Level in Wells at the End of the Pumping Season of 1975, Basis Run	79
Figure 8:	Distribution of Pumping Lift in the Study Basin at End of Pumping Season of (A) 1975, (B) 1980, (C) 1985 and (D) 1990	80-81
Figure 9:	Location of Junior Pumpers Not Allowed to Operate Under Plan A With Control Senior at Node 2539	84
Figure 10:	Water Level Rises by 1990 Because of Closure of Juniors Under Plan A With the Control Well at Node 2539 as Compared to the Basis Run	85
Figure 11:	Locations of Junior Pumpers Not Allowed to Operate Under Plan A with Basin Divided at I=37 and Control Seniors at Nodes 2539 and 4941	91
Figure 12:	Water Level Rises by 1990 Because of Closure of Juniors Under Plan A with Basin Divided at I=37 with Control Wells at Nodes 2539 and 4941 as Compared to the Basis Run	92



LIST OF FIGURES (con't)

FIGURE	PAGE
Figure 13: Hydrograph of Well at Node 4941 From Basis Run and From Operational Run with Closure of Juniors Under Plan A with Basin Divided at I=37	94
Figure 14: Water Level Rises by 1990 Because of Closure of Juniors Under Plan C with Basin Divided at I=37 with Control Wells at Nodes 2539 and 4941 as Compared to the Basis Run . . .	95
Figure 15: Locations of Junior Pumpers Not Allowed to Operate Under Plan C with Basin Divided at I=37 and Control Seniors at Nodes 2539 and 4941	96
Figure 16: Water Level Decline in Wells for Period 1982-1983, Basis Run	98
Figure 17: Histogram of Water Level Changes in Wells from 1982 to 1983, Basis Run	99
Figure 18: Water Level Changes by 1990 From Closure of Wells to Limit Pumpage to 74,000 Acre-Foot Per Year, as Compared to the Basis Run	105

